UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,369	01/23/2006	Taichi Ikedo	L9289.06103 2211	
52989 Dickinson Wrig	7590 08/05/200 ht PLLC	EXAMINER		
James E. Ledbe	tter, Esq.	AGHDAM, FRESHTEH N		
International Sc 1875 Eye Street	puare t, N.W., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		08/05/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/565,369		IKEDO ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		FRESHTEH	N. AGHDAM	2611		
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication a	ppears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUT WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	R, FROM THE MAILING ole under the provisions of 37 CFR analling date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory perioxtended period for reply will, by statuater than three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, and will apply and will e ute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FINA 3)□ Since this application	munication(s) filed on <u>04</u> L. 2b) The properties on the condition for allow the practice under	nis action is nor ance except fo	r formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6,7,9 an</u> 4a) Of the above cla 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,6 and 7</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-10</u> is/are 7)□ Claim(s) is/a 8)□ Claim(s) are	nim(s) is/are withdr is/are allowed. e rejected. re objected to.	rawn from cons				
Application Papers						
	on is/are: a) ☐ ac quest that any objection to th g sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be lection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4 5 6	T =	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 4, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's Argument(s):

Regarding claims 9-10, page 7, the Applicant argues "the individual or combined teachings of the ADRA and Marrs do not suggest the Applicant's claimed configuration or the benefits derived therefrom."

Examiner's Response:

Regarding the argument set forth above, Examiner disagrees with the Applicant because According to MPEP 2114 an apparatus is what a device is rather than what a device does "a claim directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function... a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim." (emphasis added). A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the instant application's disclosed prior art, and further in view of Marrs et al (US 6,135,099).

As to claims 9 and 10, the instant application's disclosed prior art teaches all the subject matter claimed in claim 1, except for a variable attenuator in the negative feedback loop and said quantizer is configured as a variable-output quantizer, wherein said variable-output quantizer comprises an output transistor switch and a power supply regulator, and varies the power supply voltage of said output transistor switch by means of said power supply regulator. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it is a design choice and/or obvious to include a variable attenuator and a variable quantizer, to provide higher flexibility in regulating the signal-to-noise ratio, and as a result, enhancing the system performance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a variable attenuator and a variable quantizer for the reason stated above. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it is well known in the art that the variable-output quantizer employs a transistor switch and a power supply as it is evidenced by Marrs (claim 9 of Marrs) in order to obtain the

Art Unit: 2611

variable-output quantizer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to obtain the variable-output quantizer using a transistor switch and a power supply for the reason stated above.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4, 6, and 7 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRESHTEH N. AGHDAM whose telephone number is (571)272-6037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,369

Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/F. N. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Chieh M Fan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611